Agenda:

* Talk about research about where people get their news
  + Look into this more (more data)
* Talk about possible algorithmic approaches
  + Is a separate neutral/extreme language spectrum necessary?
* Go through PDRR oral presentation
* Further clarification on the axes

Points of discussion:

* <https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2010/09/12/americans-spending-more-time-following-the-news/>
* <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/09/11/key-findings-about-the-online-news-landscape-in-america/>
* <https://www.americanpressinstitute.org/author/mediainsightproject/>
* <https://newsapi.org/docs> → find other articles
* <https://lifestylesharer.wordpress.com/2019/01/10/7-types-of-mis-and-disinformation-and-how-to-spot-them/>

Notes:

* true/false/misleading -- is it helpful?
  + Not proper for algorithm to determine this
* Down to one axis?
* Better to just detect bias (not specify direction?)
* One well-explained axis that conveys the bias of the source
* Link users to other news sources!
  + Are all other articles on this topic 5+ years old
* How do we visually surface our data?
* One bias axis, link to other news sources, LABEL (“verified”, type of news source, opinion piece? Satire, parody? Author, date, type of news, fake content)
  + 1-5, low/mid/high, etc.
  + Link to other news sources
  + Metadata about article and source
* More info there, the less likely people are to look at it
* More stuff we collect, more credible our checking is
* “Dangerous” article or not
* Tag next to headline (satire/opinion)
* Look more credible and be more credible!
* We’ve reduced the extent to which our own bias can sway our project

To do:

* visualization